

Supporting Information

STROBE checklist

This appendix was part of the submitted manuscript and has been peer reviewed. It is posted as supplied by the authors.

Appendix to: Kisely S, Seth R, Jordan SJ, et al. Participation in the National Bowel Cancer Screening Program by people with severe mental illness, Australia, 2006–2019: a national data linkage study. *Med J Aust* 2024; doi: 10.5694/mja2.52521.

STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of cohort studies

Note: The page numbers in this table refer to the submitted manuscript, note to the published article.

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	p 1 & 2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and	
		what was found	
Introduction	•		
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	pp 4 - 5
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	p 5
Methods			
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	p 5 - 6
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment,	pp 5 - 6
		exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of	pp 5 - 6
		participants. Describe methods of follow-up	
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect	pp 6- 7
		modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
Data sources/	8	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment	pp 5 - 6
measurement		(measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than	
		one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	p 7
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	N/A
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,	pp 6 - 7
		describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	pp 6 - 7
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	
		(\underline{e}) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
Results			
Participants	13	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially	pp 7- 8
		eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing	Fig 1 on p 19
		follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and	p 8
		information on exposures and potential confounders	Table 1 on p 16
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	
Outcome data	15	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	p 8

	1	Т	
Main results 16		(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision	
		(eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they	Tables 1
		were included	-3 pp 16 - 17
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful	
		time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	N/A
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	p 9
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision.	
		Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	11
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of	
		analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	11
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	
Other informati	on		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for	
		the original study on which the present article is based	

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.